

**Law Offices of  
YOUNG & BASILE, P.C.  
Patents, Trademarks and Copyrights**

3001 W. Big Beaver Road  
Suite 624  
Troy, Michigan 48084  
Telephone: (248) 649-3333  
FACSIMILE NO.: (248) 649-3338

2001 Commonwealth Boulevard  
Suite 301  
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48105  
Telephone: (734) 662-0270  
Facsimile: (734) 662-1014

**FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**

**DATE:** January 18, 2002  
**OUR REFERENCE:** UMI-102-B  
**TO:** Tam Nguyen, Art Group Unit 1764  
**FACSIMILE NO.:** 703-305-5408  
**FROM:** Julia C. Dierker  
**RE:** Communication in response to Office Action dated 4-24-01  
**PAGES TO FOLLOW:** Seven (7)  
**MESSAGE:** Please direct to Examiner Nguyen. Thank you.

**FAX RECEIVED**  
JAN 18 2002  
GROUP 1700  
**OFFICIAL**

**THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE IS ATTORNEY PRIVILEGED AND/OR CONFIDENTIAL AND IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE NAMED RECIPIENT. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this information is strictly prohibited. Thank you.**

This message was transmitted by Julia Dierker in the Troy office. If transmission difficulties occur, please contact sender at (248) 649-3333. Please respond to:

**FACSIMILE NO. (248) 649-3338**

- ☐ Please call to confirm receipt
- ☐ Original will not follow
- ☐ Original will follow by:
  - ☐ Regular Mail
  - ☐ Express Mail
  - ☐ Federal Express

Our Reference: UMI-102-B (UM1544p1)

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Curtis L. Munson & Ralph T. Yang  
Serial Number: 09/632,891  
Filing Date: August 7, 2000  
Examiner/Art Group Unit: Tam M. Nguyen/1764  
Title: SULFUR RESISTANT ADSORBENTS

FAX RECEIVED  
JAN 18 2002  
GROUP 1700  
OFFICIAL

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Sir:

Transmitted with this document is a Communication in the above-identified application.


— Please charge \$00 to Deposit Account Number 25-0115 for the excess claims fee.

X Please charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account Number 25-0115.

I hereby certify that this correspondence was transmitted, via Facsimile, to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, to Examiner Tam M. Nguyen, Group Art Unit 1764, facsimile no. (703) 305-5408 on January 18, 2002.

Respectfully submitted,

YOUNG &amp; BASILE, P.C.

  
Julia Church Dierker  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Registration No. 33368  
(248) 649-3333

3001 West Big Beaver Rd., Suite 624  
Troy, Michigan 48084-3107

Dated: January 18, 2002  
JCD/jc

1/22/02  
No

Our Reference: UMJ-102-B (UM1544p1)

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Curtis L. Munson & Ralph T. Yang

Serial Number: 09/632,891

Filing Date: August 7, 2000

Examiner/Art Group Unit: Tam M. Nguyen/1764

Title: SULFUR RESISTANT ADSORBENTS

COMMUNICATION

Assistant Commissioner of Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

The Office Action dated October 18, 2001 has been received and carefully reviewed. Please reconsider the above-identified patent application in light of the following remarks. Entry of this Communication is respectfully requested.

REMARKS

The Office Action of October 18, 2001 has been received and carefully reviewed. It is submitted that, by this Amendment, all bases of rejection and objection are traversed and overcome. Upon entry of this Amendment, Claims 1-25 and 27-65 remain in the application.

The Examiner stated that claims 15-25 and 31 are allowed. In the Examiner's statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter, he stated that "essentially all" cationic sites of the ion-exchanged zeolite contain silver cation or copper cation "as called for in claim 15". However, Applicants again, as they did in the last amendment, call the Examiner's attention to claim 15 as originally filed (and as it remains), which recites that "a majority" of the sites have silver cation or copper cation present. The "essentially all" language to which the Examiner refers is recited in dependent claim 23.

The specification is objected to under 35 USC 132 because the Examiner asserts that it introduces new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The Examiner states that the added material which is not supported by the original

FAX RECEIVED  
JAN 18 2002  
GROUP 1  
OFFICIAL